

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,425		Applicant(s) ACAR ET AL.	
	Examiner Helen Rossoshek		Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(2) Richard Frankeny (Registration No. 47,573).

Date of Interview: 13 July 2006

Status of Application: pre-appeal brief request

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Examiner proposed to amend claims 1 and 11 for clarification. Agreement has been reached.


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. M. Thompson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800





(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)